G. R. S. 58]
Previous references.

(21)

1909.

(Police)

File No. 235-09

Serial Nos. 1-7

Later references.
Pol 214-11 (1 to 4)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-7. March. 11

Part B for ~~Advt.~~

SUBJECT.

Strengthening of the Police force at Seringapatam

Table of Contents

1. To the D.G. of Police no J 2890 of 1-4-10. Calls for his views in the matter.
2. From the D.G.P. no 2072/107 of 12-9-10. States that the strengthening of the police force is absolutely necessary.
3. Do the same office no J 9277 of 31-9-10. Enquiries about the extra cost & the source from which the same may be met

1PB—5,000—22-10-10

P.S.V.

4. From the same officer No 3613 dt 21-10-10

States that the extra cost is Rs 3967-4p annum + proposes to meet it from savings under 17 C Police (3) dt Police in Executive Head Police

5- Do the D.G.P No J1196 dt 21-11-10

Request that a specific sum may be granted out to meet the cost of the additional Jamadar.

6. From the same officer No 5039/425 dt 25-1-11

Requests necessary provision may be made in the next year's budget -

7. Do the same officer and Despatch No J2524 dt 4-3-11

Declines to sanction the proposal for reasons given

G.R.S.11] 1900.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Police)

NOTES.

File No. 235-07

File Heading.

Strengthening of the Police Force of Serampatam.

From

No. Dated Received

Diary No. Enclos. Spare Copies Serial No.

Brief Abstract of Letter.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Notes—First sheet.

GPB—30,000—3-4-07

Police file no 235-709-10

SUBJECT No. 294.

Increase of police force at Seringapatam and Ganjam.

Messrs. K. Krishnachar, Seringapatam Taluk; and B. Narasinga Rao, Seringapatam Municipality.

1) *Summary of discussion.*—Crime was on the increase even when there was a special police force stationed at Seringapatam and Ganjam. When it was withdrawn, the usual police force of the taluk was found quite inadequate to face the situation. This force has been reduced still further owing to the organization of the investigating centres. The usual force should be maintained, if not increased.

2) *Reply of Government.*—Noted.

---

3) Subo. for orders with a draft calling for the D. S.'s opinion in the matter.

B.S. 22.3.10 SN 23/3

4) Issued 24/3/10

5) Let this and the other reference about the medicine case of the S. Police be worked up and submitted together.

6) Subo. There are no other references about S. Palaiya. They are about Kolar & Bijapur & orders have already been passed in them.

B.S. 29.3.10 SN

7) Issued 31/3.

Sl. no-1

To The D. S. of Police. no. J 2890 dt 1-4-10.

Calls for his views in the matter.

F. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 235 of 1909-10

Serial No.

2 From The D.S.P. no. 2072/102 dt. 12-9-10.

States that representations for increase of police force are being received from other Dts also, he has reserved the question of increase of men for all Dts for future consideration & that it is absolutely necessary, at present, to strengthen the Town police of Serampadam by the appointment of an additional Jamedar and to constitute the town into an investigating centre by itself.

8) The D.S. has not stated the extra cost involved in his proposal. It will at least amount to Rs 28 per mensem or Rs 336 per annum. This has either to be met by reappropriation or by an additional grant during the current official year provision thereof being made in future budgets. Submitted for orders with a draft to the D.S.P.

B.S.
15-9-10. SW

9) Issue

16/9/10

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,0.10—27-4-09

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 235 of 190 9-10

4

Serial No.	
3	To The I. G. of Police. no. J927 D/ 21.9.10 Requests to be informed of the extra cost and of the source from which the same may be met.
4	From The I. G. P. no. 3613/206 D/ 25.10.10 States that the extra cost involved is Rs 396 per annum and proposes that the same may be met out of anticipated savings under the head "17c-Police (3) Dt. Police. iii. Executive Rural Police Force".
	10) Subd. for orders. B & II may be consulted. B.G. 2.11.10. IN 2-11-10
	11) As 4 months are over in the present official year, the Cost will be much less than what is noted on p. 3
	12) To B for favour of opinion as to whether the procedure suggested by the Dy. may be followed 4/11/10.
H Secn 326	
Secn Dir 5/11/10	13) Subd. Under the head pointed out by the Inspector General a sum of Rs 42 100 has been deducted

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 235 of 1909-10

Serial No.

on account of Probable Savings in the curren year's Budget. (Please see page 87 of the print Budget). It is not known whether the estimates admit of any further Savings. However, the amount required for the current year is only about Rs 215.×

× for 6½ months.

Hon'ble 5/11/10. 14) Please call from the Comptroller's office the expenditure booked under the head for these 4 months that have elapsed.

7-11-10

15) Subd.

On enquiry in the Comptroller's office it is ascertained that the information is not available at present.

16) In the matter of utilising Savings from this year's budget grant for recurring expenditure, reference is invited to memo no: g 2094-2133 / Police 146-04-05-6 dated the 27th January 1906.

17) The Inspector General of Police has to be requested to point out definite source to meet the increase proposed.

Hon'ble 8-11-10 18) No urgency to meet recurring expenditure from Savings. Please ask I.G.P. to point out specific source

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

for reappropriation. No other objn. as the Municipality & the Advocate-Genl. have no objn. to the grant of the licence is admitted & the Advocate-Genl. necessary. Francelli 9.11.10.

Subd 7/11/10

19) Make the Engrsg as suggested

10/11/10

Plan 4/11 20) Subd. with a draft.

Sen 25/11/10

B.G. 11.11.10. SN

21) Issue

15/11/10.

5 To The D. S. P. no. J1496 d/ 21.11.10.

Requests that a specific source may be pointed out to meet the cost of the additional Jamadar.

6 From The D. S. P. no. 5039/428 d/ 25.1.11

Requests that necessary provision may be made in the next year's budget for entertaining the Jamadar from 1st July 1911.

22) Evidently the D. S. does not intend to entertain the Jamadar during the current official year.

GPB—55,000—27.4.09

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 285 of 1909-10

7

Serial No.

Subd. for orders.

B.G. (39) 27-1-11. SN(11)

23) Put up draft sanctioning the Enlistment named from the Commencement of the next official year and add that due provision therefor shd be made in the Budget

Sd

2 M/1/11.

24) Subd. with a draft.

B.G. (39) 31-1-11. SN(17) 31/1/11

25) The Finl Branch has no objection. The proposal may therefore be sanctioned

Sd

31/1/11.

Sd

Russian subjects 1909.

26) Secy. 1st C & Dewan

M 31/1/11

Sd 31/1/11

27) There is no separate Town Police

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

8

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 2 35 of 1909-10

Serial No.

for Seringapatam & Ganjam
There is a Sub Station with
a Duffedar in charge at
Ganjam and a Head Station
in Seringapatam. I doubt
the necessity for instituting
an Investigating Centre
for Seringapatam & Ganjam
The amount of crime must
be very small - Seringapatam
being the Taluk Hdqrs
the Amildar, Police Inspr
& Jemadar are all located
in this place - and an
addl Jemadar appears to be
unnecessary.

(b) Whether the Taluk requires
an addl Investigating Centre
is a separate question -
The Sly of Police may be informed
that an addl Jemadar is
not necessary and if deemed
necessary, will have to be
found by a redistribution
of sanctioned strength
[This Correspce should have been
Connected at an earlier

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—50,000—12-6-10

G. P. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 235 of 1909-10

9

Serial No.

24) Draft cabd.

B.S. 17.2.11.

SW(11) 18/2

SA

1/4/14

1

2115

20.2.11

Mg. maybe approved

KBR

20/2/14

Mg

Dewan

SA

10240

21.2.11

Drafts approved by the dewan 25/2/14

BR

25.2.11

Issue

Mg

25/2/14

SA 28/2/11

Hlw

1613

7 Draft issued to the D.P.I. & the Dy. Comr. Mysore. no. J2527-8 dt/ 4.3.11

NOTE— Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

GR.S. 26]

Police
File No. 235-07
Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to

No J 2890.

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Police)
, *the* 190

To
The I. G. P. Police in Singapore

Date of issue 1st April 1910

Signed by [illegible]

I have the honor to invite his attention to the ~~matter~~ subject mentioned at the last Refreshment time & the reply of Govt. thereto, & to request that Govt. may be favoured with his views in the matter.

(Office copy of the subject & reply)

The increase of Police force at Seringapatam Singapore

By Order
[illegible]
for Secretary

B.S. SV
22.3.10
93/3

[illegible]
31-3-10
[illegible]

over.

SUBJECT.

III
1899 Secy Sch

J 727

19 —19

file no 235-
sl. no. 2

Secy From

The Inspector-General of
Police in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Gen. and Rev. Depts

Dated
Despatched 12th September 19
Received
General No. 2072
102

Enclosure No.

Re the increase of Police force
at Seringapatam and Ganja

GPB—700—26-11-99

3

No. 2072
102

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

Dated Bangalore, 12 September 1910..

FROM

D. Devaraj Urs Esquire,
Inspector-General of Police in Mysore.

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esq., B.A.,
Offg. *Secretary to the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,*
General and Revenue Departments.

SIR,

With reference to your No. J. 2890 dated the 1st April 1910 re the increase of Police force at Seringapatam and Ganjam, I have the honour to state that under the investigation Centre Scheme the force for the Taluk was reduced from 1 Jamedar, 6 Duffedars and 50 men to 1 Jamedar, 4 Duffedars and (31) men. It has ~~however~~ since been represented that the force is inadequate. Similar representations are being made from other Districts also. I have however reserved the question of increase of men for all Districts for future consideration.

71 W.
40?

The question of providing an additional Jamedar to the Seringapatam Taluk cannot be put off any longer. At present, the whole Taluk including the town (Ganjam and Seringapatam) is constituted into one investigating Centre in charge of a single Jamedar. By personal knowledge as well by the representations of the District Officers and the

people of the Seringapatam Taluk, I am satisfied that the work is too heavy for one Jamedar.

Seringapatam including Ganjam is a large town with a population of 8,584. It is also well known that the place has had a reputation of its own for being somewhat troublesome. On a careful consideration of these circumstances, I think it is absolutely necessary to strengthen the Town Police by the appoin-ment - for the present - of an additional Jamedar and to con-stitute the town by itself into an investigating centre, the present Jamedar to be in charge of the other centre which in-cludes the rest of the Taluk.

I request you to kindly obtain orders of Government in the matter.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Inspector-General of Police.

12/9

G.R.S 26]

Police

File No. 2357

Serial No 3

17-9-10

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

No. J924

General and Rev. Secretariat
(Police)
, *the* 190 .

To

The D. I. G. of Police in Mysore

Date of issue 21-9-10

Signed by Sir S Krishnaswamy

With reference to his letter no. 2072/102 dated the 12th Sept. 1910 stating that it is necessary to strengthen the police staff at Seringapatam by the appointment of an additional Jamadar and to constitute the town into an Investigating Centre by itself, has the honor to request to be informed of the extra cost involved in the proposal & of the source from which the same may be met. He favours a very early reply is requested

By Order

For Secretary

B.G.
15-7-10

over.

SUBJECT.

copied by
S Krishnaswamy
19-9-10

J/1140 6 -

file no 235-09-2 & no. 4

No. 3613
264

OFFICE OF THE
INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

Dated Bangalore, 25th October 19 10.

From

D. Devaraj Urs Esquire,
Inspector-General of Police in Mysore.

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esq., B.A.,
Offg. Secretary to the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. J. 927/Police 235-09-2 dated 21st ultimo requesting to intimate the extra cost involved by the appointment of an additional Jamedar at Seringapatam, I have the honour to state that the salary of a 1st class Jamedar is ₹25 + 8 ₹33/- per mensem or ₹396/- per annum which may be met out of the anticipated savings under the head " 17C- Police - (3) District Police iii Executive Rural Police Force."

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Inspector-General of Police.

25-10

III
26/10 Govt Police

J 11 40

19—

FROM

The Inspector-General of
Police in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Gen. & Rev. Depts.

Dated

Despatched 25th October 1940

Received

General No. 3613

No. 204

Enclosure No.

Intimating the extra cost involved by the appointment of an additional Jamedar at Srirangapatnam.

Police 7

G.R.S. 26]

File No. 235-09

Serial No. 5

6/11/10

Diary No. of letter replied to 1140

No. J 1494.

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Police)
, *the* 19 .

To

The I. G. of Police in Jaffna

Date of issue 21-11-1910

Signed by Mr Sabapathy

With reference to his letter No. 3613/264 dated the 25th October 1910, stating that the ~~additional~~ cost involved in his proposal to appoint an additional Tamadar at Serinagapatam may be met out of the municipal sanction under the head "17C-Police (3) 'C' Police-iii 'Executive Rural Police Force", has the honor to invite his attention to Govt. minute No. J 2094-2133-Police 146-04-6 dated the 27th January 1906

JCT

over.

SUBJECT.

Dte 9/5

J 1930 File no 235 of 09

No. 5039/428

OFFICE OF THE
INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

Sl. no. 6

Dated Bangalore, 25 January 1911.

From

D. Devaraj Urs Esquire,
Inspector-General of Police in Mysore.

To

C.S. Balasundaram Iyer Esquire, B.A.,
Offg. Secretary to the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. J.1494/Police.235-9-5 dated 21st November 1910 requesting that a specific head may be pointed out to meet the extra cost to appoint an additional Jamedar at Seringapatam, I have the honor to request that necessary provision may be kindly made in the next year's budget for entertaining the Jamedar with effect from the 1st July 1911.

I have the honor to be,
sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M Lakshmanaswamy

Sl 23/1
M.S.

For Inspector-General of Police.

III 26/1 Pol. 1930

19 —

5039

FROM

The Inspector-General of
Police in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Gen. & Rev. Depts.

Dated 25th January 30
Despatched
Received

General No. 5039
No. 428

Enclosure No.

Request that provision may be made in the next year's budget for entertaining of an additional Jamedar at Srirangapatana.

GPB—500—15-11-09

G.R.S. 28.]

Police
File No. 235-09
Serial No. 7

Diary No. of letter replied to

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
(Police)

, *the* 19

Date of issue 4-3-11

Signed by Mr B. Ramaswamy

READ--

Subject vide para 294 of the Representative Assembly Proceedings for 1909, regarding the increase of the police force at Seringapatam and Ganjam and the reply of

~~ORDER THEREON.~~ ~~No.~~

Govt Memo ---

2. Correspondence ending with letter No 5039-428 dated the 25th January 1911, from the I.G. of Police in Mysore on the

over

SUBJECT.

GPB—10,000—19-4-10

same subject

Order thereon — Maj. 2524-8/Police 235-19-7946-3-11.

The D.G. considers it necessary to strengthen the police force of the Seringapatam taluq by the entertainment of an additional Jamadar at an extra cost of Rs. 396 per annum and recommends the constitution of the town into an Incidental circle by itself. There is a H.Q. Station at Seringapatam and a Sub-Station at Ganjam with a Daffedar in charge. Besides this, Seringapatam being the H.Q. of the Taluk, the Amildar, the Police Inspector and the Jamadar are all stationed in this place. Govt therefore consider that an additional Jamadar is unnecessary. If, however, the D.G. still thinks that an additional Jamadar for Seringapatam is absolutely necessary, Govt will have no objection to the proposal provided

G.B.S. 28.]

Police
File No. 235-09
Serial No. 7

Diary No. of letter replied to

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
(Police)

, *the* 19

Date of issue 4-3-11

Signed by Mr B. Ramanarayan

READ—

Subject no. 294 of the Representative Assembly Proceedings of 1909 regarding the increase of the police force at Seringapatam and Ganjam and the reply of Govt thereon, No. —

2 Correspondence ending with letter no. 5039-428 dated the 25th January 1911, from the I. G. of Police in Mysore on the

over

SUBJECT.

GPB—10,000—19-4-10

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

it is effected by the ex-
-clusive exclusion of the nuce-
-lineus, strangle and
without immobilizing extra-
cort

(Init) S.H.
For Secretary

To — — — — —
The I.G. of Police in Mysore
The Dy. Comm., Mysore Dt.,
for communication to
the members concerned

Copy to the Bowra Section